

Date:

12/15/2021

Location:

Teams meeting

Attendees:

Allison Sickels, Emily Downing, Eric Doll, Jackie Sapaugh, Jasmin Volkel, Jenn Von Egidy, Kathy Johnson, Kim Crawford, Liz Lobaton, Thadeous Carr, Thurston Alexander-Smith, Vanessa Kopp

Open Vacancies

Two vacancies on committee. Application will be shared in CoC Digest. Committee voted to contact two previous applicants [Regina Hardaway & Lisa Rowe] on interest in joining committee temporary as we move in to scoring and selection process. Email alternate candidates to Jasmin and Vanessa.

Topics

HMIS Transition Timeline

This week (December 17th) Committee must notify RFP bidders that they have been selected to move forward into demonstrations

Phase 1 Scorecard Review

Vendors were scored in this phase based on completion
6 vendors submitted

score for phase I	vendor
30	BitFocus
30	CaseWorthy
28	Eccovia
27	Social Solutions
26	FootHold
26	WellSky

Considerations

Contact references for each vendor.

Create a shared documents for vendor related questions by Friday January 7th so that vendors can hopefully address these questions in their Demos.

Inquire about potential costs from vendors [view example bill from a CoC similar in size to NC-507.]

Software Demonstrations

This committee will become the "Scoring and Selection Committee"

Committee will attend all software demonstrations and score the demos using Phase II score card.

Timeslots identified

Vendor	Timeslot identified
FootHold	Tuesday Jan 11, 9am
WellSky	Tuesday Jan 11, 10:45am
BitFocus	Wed Jan 12th 9am
CaseWorthy	Wed Jan 12th 10:45am
Eccovia	Thursday Jan 13th 9 am
Social Solutions	Thursday Jan 13th 10:45am
	these holds will be updated on committee calendars

Phase II Timeline

Jan 3-14th 2022	Software Demos
Wed Jan 19, 2022	Software Selections
Jan/Feb 2022	Contract Negotiations
Feb-June 2022	Data Migration/New System Implementation

**Monthly & Quarterly reporting
APR**

APR ran for Oct 2021 (start of HUD fiscal year) and Nov 2021
Focus
Total served
Errors related to demos, relationship to HoH, client location, disabling condition, homeless History Interview, Housing Move-In Date, Destination
Broken out by agency and project type.

DQ points we'd like to get to 0%
HoH and Client Location

Goal for DQ [Feb Meeting]

Discuss reasons why we might see these errors
 What impacts agency ability to get good DQ?
 How can we improve data collection across system?

Next meeting Wed. Jan 19th, 10AM